

***REENTRY PROGRAM
REPOSITORY:
OVERVIEW AND PROTOCOL***

**SUBMITTED TO THE
OHIO EX-OFFENDER REENTRY COALITION**

BY

**Cynthia Ali, Chair, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
Dwight Anstaett, Ohio Department of Education
Brad DeCamp, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
Darryl Graves, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
Kim Humphrey, Ohio Department of Youth Services
Shancie Jenkins, Ohio Department of Jobs and Family Services
Vicky Jenkins, Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities
Melissa Knopp, Ohio Supreme Court
Tereasa Moorman-Jamison, Ohio Department of Mental Health
Mark Roberts, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
Sloan Spaulding, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
Stephanie Starr, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction**

JANUARY 5, 2012

The Reentry Repository Program Repository

The Reentry Program Repository Team was chartered by the Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition (OERC) for the purpose of producing a strategic action plan that will guide, support and sustain the development and maintenance of a reentry program repository. In accordance with House Bill 86, OERC is statutorily required to gather information about reentry programs in a repository maintained and made accessible by the coalition. The information is to include, to the extent possible, the following:

- The composition of the program, including program goals, methods for measuring success and program success rates;
- The amount of funding received;
- The number of program participants;
- The type of program tracking that is utilized; and,
- Information about employment rates and recidivism rates of ex-offenders

A charter was approved by the Chair of the Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition to form an interdisciplinary team of individuals to address and meet the requirements set forth by House Bill 86 (See Appendix A). Two main subcommittees were formed to complete this work. The first, the “Building Protocol” subcommittee, was tasked with the responsibility for the development of a protocol for the submission, review and approval process for all program submissions. A second, the Marketing and Education subcommittee, provided guidance on strategies for reaching out to potential contributors, and the marketing tools to be used in encouraging submissions and use of the repository. A smaller, less formal group discussed the definition of what is meant by a reentry program to ensure that the parameters driving what programming might be included were clear from the start. The report that follows reflects the comments and suggestions of the members of the Reentry Program Repository Team.

What Is A Reentry Program ?

“Reentry” is not a specific program, but rather a research driven process that starts when an offender is initially incarcerated and ends when the offender has been successfully reintegrated in his or her community as a law-abiding citizen. The reentry process includes the delivery of a variety of research- and evidence-based program services in both pre- and post-release settings, designed to ensure that the transition from prison or jail to the community is safe and successful.

What Does Evidence-Based Mean?

A Framework for Evidence-Based Decision Making in Local Criminal Justice Systems, a recent initiative by the National Institute of Corrections, offers a helpful definition of the meaning of evidence for purposes of the reentry program repository. As it notes, “evidence” is used to describe findings from empirically sound social science research. The results of this research are referred to as evidence-based policy and practice. There has been an impressive growth in research showing that certain principles and practices are more effective than others relative to reentry programming, especially if the primary goal is to accomplish a reduction in recidivism.

The *Framework* provides a summary of the key research findings illustrating them in “7 Ways to Reduce Recidivism.” These “ways” inform sound reentry programming. They represent evidence-based policy and practice and will serve as guidelines for assessing program submissions to the repository. They are listed below.

- (1) Use risk assessment tools to identify the risk to reoffend and offenders’ criminogenic needs (dynamic risk factors that are tied to the likelihood of further recidivism).
- (2) Direct programming and interventions to medium and higher risk offenders.
- (3) Target interventions for medium and higher risk offenders on their individual criminogenic needs.
- (4) Respond to misconduct with swiftness, certainty, and proportionality.
- (5) Use more carrots than sticks. Use positive reinforcements in a ratio of 4:1.
- (6) Deliver services in natural environments, and communities, where possible.
- (7) Pair sanctions with interventions that address criminogenic needs.

Protocol for Reentry Program Submissions

Application

The Reentry Program Repository will be located on the OERC website and be accessible to anyone who wishes to know about reentry programming in Ohio. The application for repository submissions will also be available through the website and will be completed and submitted electronically. The application is found under Appendix B.

The questions for the Reentry Program Repository application were developed to incorporate the statutory requirements of HB 86 while taking into consideration the criminogenic needs that are targeted by particular programs. It was important to consider criminogenic needs to ensure that a broad base and wide diversity of programs are represented when looking at program types and program goals. The questions were also developed with the notion that the information could later be used to create reports for historical purposes, to inform future funding decisions, and for statistical purposes.

Recommendations for the Process of Initial Application Reviews

It is recommended that a minimum of 3 reviewers be assigned from the OERC’s statutory membership agencies to act as “subject matter experts” (SME), depending on the program type. Multiple reviewers are recommended to ensure fair and thorough assessments of the applications that are submitted and to distribute the workload more evenly. See Appendix C.

The system will assign submissions directly to the appropriate agency based upon what program types are assigned or designated for that agency. Simultaneously, the Office of Offender Reentry (DRC) staff having oversight over the repository will also receive notification of the submission and the agency staff assigned to review the information. The SME will have 15 business days from assignment to complete the review of the application and return it to the appropriate DRC oversight staff. In the event the system does not have the capability to assign an application submissions directly to an agency, DRC oversight staff will be responsible for assigning and emailing the submissions to the appropriate SME. The same turn-around timeframes apply.

Once the application has been assigned to appropriate SMEs, the agency submitting the application will receive notification indicating a response will be received within 30 business days.

During the SME review process, comments and corrections will be made to the application, if necessary, to ensure the information is ready to be posted in the repository once final approval from DRC oversight staff occurs. If it is acceptable and no comments or corrections are required, it will be noted as such when the submission is returned (emailed) to DRC oversight staff. In the event corrections or additional information are required, the DRC oversight staff will return the application to the submitting agency requesting the required modifications. Once the modifications have been made, the application will be forwarded to the DRC oversight staff. The modified application will be reviewed within 10 business days. At the conclusion of the review, the revised information will either be posted to the repository or the applicant agency will be notified that the application has not been approved.

A SME's failure to respond within 15 business days of assignment of the application with no explanation as to why the delay occurred will result in notification being made to the SME. If nothing further is received within three business days, a notice will be sent to the agency Director or designee for appropriate follow-up and response.

Recommendations for Annual Reviews /Updates

Updates will be allowed *once per quarter* utilizing an agency specific password that is assigned to the applicant agency once the program information has been entered into the repository.

DRC Oversight staff will receive notification anytime an update is made. Any changes to questions 16-30 on the application may warrant assignment to the appropriate SME. In all other cases, updates will be reviewed by DRC oversight staff prior to the information posting to the Reentry Program Repository.

On each agency's site, a text noting the date of the last update or the date the information was initially entered into the Program Repository will be visible to all viewers.

The participating agencies, organizations, or groups will have the capability to review the application information submitted using the agency specific password. During an annual review, if no changes are warranted, this information will be noted by checking a box indicating "no changes required" to ensure the information was reviewed. The agency must hit "save" and the date of the review will appear. If changes/updates are necessary, the new information should be entered directly onto the application. The viewer must hit "save" and upon saving, DRC oversight staff will be notified of the updates. The updated information will appear for and/or be submitted to the DRC oversight staff; however, the information will not be posted to the repository until approval from DRC oversight staff occurs.

Annual reminders based upon the original date program information placed into the Reentry Program Repository will be sent out at 90, 60 and 30 day intervals prior to the date the annual review is due. Agencies failing to complete their annual updates by either updating their program's information or noting "no changes" will be suspended and/or dropped from the repository 30 business days after the renewal date. An automated notification will be sent out alerting the program they have been suspended or removed from the Reentry Program Repository.

IT Considerations

The following recommendations are designed to provide automated support for the technical capabilities of the Reentry Program Repository. Once it is automated, the system shall have:

- the capability to assign submissions directly to the agency acting as “subject matter experts” based upon what program types are designated to that agency;
- the capability to create reports from information obtained in the repository for historical perspective purposes, to inform future funding decisions or for statistical information on programs in the repository;
- the capability to date stamp applications and revisions so that it is known when the information was originally reported or when an annual update and/or revision occurs;
- the capability to give users access to program information by county and/or zip code;
- the capability to review for misspelled words and questions that are not completed on the application. The system should not allow users to move to the next question if a question(s) response is blank (unless the response is noted as “no” and the user is instructed to move to another certain question);
- the capability to save original submissions and all annual updates for historical data on the submitting agency;
- the capability to hold renewal/update information for 30 days prior to its posting to the repository to allow for time for the DRC oversight staff to review the revised information. DRC oversight staff will be the only staff to have access to the information prior to its posting to the repository;
- the capability to send out annual reminders at 90, 60 and 30 days prior to the date of the annual update based upon the original date program information is placed in the Program Repository;
- the capability to send out a notification of suspension or removal from the repository 30 business days after the annual update is due, but not completed;
- the capability on each agency’s site to display a text that indicates the date of the last update (or the date the information was initially entered into the Program Repository);
- the capability to sort programs according to program type; adult versus youth and/or family; EBP or non-EBP; residential versus non-residential; and,
- the capability to provide “tool tips” directly related to questions and/or key words to provide clarification and/or examples that are user friendly.

The types of information that might be displayed on the reentry program repository website are illustrated in Appendix D.

What the Future Holds

It is envisioned that the Reentry Program Repository will evolve as more information becomes known in regards to programs in the repository. A major goal is to eventually rate programs according to their effectiveness. This rating system will be used to sort programs by effectiveness, according to any program evaluations completed, alignment with evidence-based practices, positive outcomes in terms of successful completions, and recidivism rates. Programs that have demonstrated positive trends will be categorized as such while programs that have proven to be ineffective will also be noted and eventually removed from the repository.

Education and Marketing

The education and marketing strategies associated with the rollout of the reentry program repository considered the following questions.

- Why should providers list their programs in this repository?
- By listing, is a contributor’s visibility/credibility enhanced?
- Who will be responsible for implementing the strategies and what is the timeline?

In response to these questions, potential providers and contributors were identified, alongside specific marketing and education strategies designed to promote active participation in submitting programs to the reentry program website. In addition, a variety of tools and tactics were developed that may be used to implement the strategies. These are summarized drawing on the guidance of Dee Sturgill, an Ohio Department of Education (ODE) Marketing Program Consultant, who developed the table included below.

The table called “Reentry Repository Education/Marketing” includes a list of suggested contributors, and potential marketing strategies that may be used to publicize the repository, as well as tools and tactics that may be deployed to help implement the marketing strategies. The table is not an all-encompassing document, but an initial effort to provide a structure and action plan to support the adoption and use of the repository as it is made available on-line.

<u>Reentry Repository Education/Marketing</u>		
<u>Fundamental questions:</u>		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Why should providers list their programs? • By listing, is a contributor’s visibility/credibility enhanced? • Who will be responsible for implementing the strategies and what is the timeline? 		
*Items listed do not necessarily correspond to one another across columns		
Potential Contributors/Constituents List*	Education/Marketing Strategies List*	Tools/Tactics List*
Government agencies that fund programs	Identify the program funders – ask them to ID and evaluate potential participants (ODRC, ODYS, OCJS, and others)	Provider agency Web sites linked with contact information for all providers – use to promote repository project participation
Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition (State Level) – Agencies	Conference Announcements	ODYS, ODR&C Web sites
Courts - Adult/Juvenile	Reach out to associations like OCCSA	Provider Newsletters
Probation Departments Adult/Juvenile	Develop Newsletter announcements	Re-entry Coalition web site
Faith Based Organizations	Develop a Repository logo in collaboration with Prison News Network	ODR&C and ODYS communications capacities, including press releases

Catholic Social Services	Inform legislators	Repository Brochure
Godman Guild Lutheran Social Services	Model repository after existing repositories in terms of organization and content	Repository Logo
Social Service providers	Announcements at Re-Entry Coalition meetings	Webinars, Podcasts
Mental Health Service Providers	Develop print strategies	Printed bookmarks w/ Logo, refrigerator magnets, etc.
Goodwill	Develop a brochure featuring the repository, its benefits, and how to participate	Others to be determined
VOA (Volunteers of America)	Prepare press releases	
Ex-offender housing providers	Implement E-marketing efforts	
Substance Abuse treatment providers	Develop logo based promotional items as a give-away	
Veterans' groups	Others to be determined	
Adult Workforce Education providers		
Halfway Houses		
Reentry Coalitions statewide		
United Way affiliates		
Community Corrections Facilities (CCFs)		
Community Based Correctional Facilities (CBCFs)		
Community-Based Treatment Centers		
Ohio Association of County Based Behavioral Health Employees (OACBHE)		
Ohio Correctional/Court Services Association (OCCSA)		
Others that serve this population		
		DRAFT 122311

Disclaimer

The Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition through the Department of Rehabilitation and Correction has designed a framework and protocol for launching a Reentry Program Repository. It is intended to be used by offenders, service/treatment providers, agency personnel at the state, county, and municipal level, advocacy organizations, colleges and universities, and citizens across the state. Its overarching purpose is to provide timely and easy-to-access information on programs that will aid offenders in their successful transition back to their home communities. The information contained in this website is for general information purposes only.

The information is provided by the Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition with staff support from the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction. Every effort is made to keep the information up to date and correct. However, no representations or warranties of any kind are made, express or implied, about the completeness, accuracy, reliability, suitability or availability with respect to the website or the information, products, services, or related graphics contained on the website for any purposes. Any reliance you place on such information is therefore strictly at your own risk.

In no event will the Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition/Department of Rehabilitation and Correction be liable for any loss or damage including without limitation, indirect or consequential loss or damage, or any loss or damage whatsoever arising from loss of data or profits arising out of or in connection with, the use of this website.

Through this website you may be able to link to other websites which are not under the control of the Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition/Department of Rehabilitation and Correction. We have no control over the nature, content and availability of those sites. The inclusion of any link does not necessarily imply a recommendation or endorse the views expressed within them.

The misuse of the information on this website may subject the user to criminal prosecution and/or civil liability. Unauthorized attempts to change the information on this website are strictly prohibited and may be subject to criminal prosecution and/or civil liability.

Every effort is made to keep the website up and running smoothly. However, the Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction takes no responsibility for, and will not be liable for, the website being temporarily unavailable due to technical issues beyond its control.

I have read the disclaimer and agree to all of these terms and conditions

Conclusion

The Reentry Program Repository will be an effective tool to highlight available reentry programs for offenders, service and treatment providers and Ohio citizens. The fundamental goal is to provide information on programs that will ultimately aid offenders in their successful transition back to their home communities. At the onset of the Reentry Program Repository's development, the database will be inclusive of all programs that effectively complete the application process. As more information becomes available and the Reentry Program Repository has been established as an effective forum for displaying such information, it is the expectation that the functionality of this database will be enhanced.

Appendix A

Team Charter

The Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition (OERC) is a statutory body whose overriding goals are to reintegrate offenders into the community, reduce recidivism, and maintain public safety. It is committed to identifying and addressing barriers to ex-offender reentry across the state. This is accomplished in numerous ways, including the gathering of information about reentry programs. This charter calls for the OERC to develop and maintain a reentry program repository.

Mission:

OERC will charter a team to produce a strategic action plan that guides, supports and sustains the development and maintenance of a reentry program repository. The repository shall be available on the OERC website and accessible to everyone.

Guiding Commitments:

- (1) OERC will provide appropriate staff and resource support from its member agencies to assist the workgroup in completing its work within the timeline noted in section “End Product” below.
- (2) The statutory agencies (listed below) will be asked to designate a representative(s) to serve on the workgroup, and/or participate in work throughout the duration of the charter.
- (3) The workgroup will review and consider all material developed and disseminated by OERC as well as benchmark with other repositories and sources of information hosting reentry programs.
- (4) The workgroup will develop and maintain an easily accessible repository of reentry programs.
- (5) The workgroup will establish a user-friendly location where practitioners can list information about their reentry program

Team Members

Ed Rhine, Deputy Director, Office of Offender Reentry, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

Cynthia Ali, Reentry Administrator, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

Representation from the following agencies:

Ohio Department of Alcohol and Drug Addiction Services

Ohio Department of Mental Health

Ohio Department of Job and Family Services

Ohio Department of Youth Services

Ohio Department of Education

Ohio Department of Aging

Ohio Department of Developmental Disabilities

Board of Regents

Ohio Supreme Court

Office of Criminal Justice Services

Volunteer Intern (OSU)

Stephanie Starr, Administrator, Division of Parole and Community Services (DRC)

Office of Prisons, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

Office of Information Technology, Ohio Department of Rehabilitation and Correction

Team Process

- (1) The team shall provide briefings at the quarterly meetings of the OERC, and on any other occasion as requested by the Chair or statutory members of OERC.
- (2) The strategic action plan that is produced shall be presented to OERC for final review and approval. The plan shall include the recommendations pertaining to the following:
 - a. Develop a universal definition of a “reentry program.”
 - b. The creation of a protocol with established criteria for what constitutes a reentry program.
 - c. A summary of the core content of a reentry program information: including the following:
 - Name of Reentry Program
 - County or Location of Reentry Program
 - Composition of Program
 1. Program goals
 2. Methods for measuring success
 3. Number of program participants
 4. Program success rate
 5. Type of post program tracking utilized
 - Amount of funding received and source
 -
 - d. The repository shall be available to everyone and placed on the OERC website.

End Product: A final report with recommendations will be delivered on or before December 31, 2011 to Chairman Mohr and the statutory members of the Ohio Ex-Offender Reentry Coalition.

Appendix B

Reentry Program Repository Application Questions:

- 1) Program Name:
- 2) Program Contact: Name, Position, Telephone Number, Email Address
- 3) Location(s) of Program (City/County):
- 4) Length of Time the Program Has Been in Existence:
- 5) Population Served: Check all that apply (check box)
 - Male , Female, Families
 - Age range (drop down menu). Applicant will have the ability to check all that apply:
 - Juvenile
 - 17 and Under
 - 18-21
 - Adult
 - 18-49
 - 50+
- 6) What are the Program's Exclusionary Criteria? (300 word text box)
- 7) Counties Served (Drop down box-check all that apply)
- 8) The Maximum Number of Program Participants Served at a Time:
- 9) Is Program: 501 C-3 Not For Profit, Not for Profit, For Profit, Government Agency? Select One (radio box)
 - a. Who are the Program's Partnering Agencies (100 word text box)
- 10) Program Type-Check One Primary Program Component (radio box)
 - Health and Wellness/Substance Abuse/Domestic Violence/Victim Awareness/Mental Health/ Employment/Education/Housing/Sex Offender/Mentoring/ Developmental Disabilities/Anger Management/Family Engagement/Family Counseling/ Independent Living Skills/Parenting Skills / /Vocational/Community Service /Peer /Veterans Program/ Criminal Thinking/Other_____
- 11) Residential / Non Residential (radio box)
- 12) Is Program Faith Based? Yes / No (radio box)
 - a. If Yes, What Religious Faith and is Religion a Component of Program Services (Please Describe) (300 character text box)
- 13) Program Description (500 character text box)
- 14) What Are the Goals of the Program?-Check All That Apply (check boxes)
 - Reduce Recidivism/ Create Positive Pro-Social Relationships/ Increase Public Safety/ Improve Family Functioning/ Increase Pro-Social Behavior/ Created or Enhance Problems Solving Skills/Improve Employment Skills/Develop or Increase Self Control/ Increase Education Attainment Skills/ Decrease Barriers to a Successful Reintegration/ Create Positive Peer Relations/ Address Substance Abuse Issues / Address Mental Health Issues/Decrease Criminogenic Thinking Related to Attitude Beliefs and Values/ Improve Health and Wellness/ Develop Independent Living Skills
- 15) Does the Program Offer an Accredited/Valid Certification or License for the Client? Yes / No (radio box)
 - a. If Yes, Please Describe (text box)
 - b. Is the Program Evidence Based? Yes / No (radio box)
 - c. If Yes, Please Describe What Evidence Based Practices are Utilized (500 character txt box)

- 16) Is the Program Evidence Based? Yes / No (radio box)
- 17) Does the Program Follow a Structured Curriculum/Lesson Plan? Yes / No (radio box)
- a. If Yes, Please Describe. (500 character text box)
- 18) What is the Method of Program Delivery? (300 character text box)
- 19) Is an Assessment Instrument Used to Determine Risk Factors? Yes / No (radio box)

If No, Go to Question #21. If Yes:

- a. Are the Results Used to Determine Program Participants Accepted in to the Program?
- b. Are the Results Used to Determine Program Placement?
- c. Are the Results Used to Determine Dosage of Treatment Services?
- d. Are the Results Used to Determine Program Length?
- e. Has the Assessment Instrument Been Validated? (Yes / No radio box for all)
- 20) What is the Name of the Assessment Instrument Utilized? (text box)
- 21) Does the Program Have Any Licenses? Yes /No (radio box)
- a. If Yes, How Many (box that allows up to 2 digit whole numbers to be entered)
- 22) If Applicable, What Percentage of Staff Has Credentials in a Related Field? (box that allows up to 2 digit whole numbers to be entered)
- 23) If the Program Provides Any Type of Employment Services, What Are the Employment Rates (Inclusive of Full-time, Part-time or Temporary)? (in percentages starting from the most recent past calendar or fiscal year):
- 1 Year Ago____ 2 Years Ago____ 3 Years Ago____ 4 Years Ago____ 5 Years Ago____
- 24) Are Program Success Rates Tracked? Yes / No (radio box) **If No, Go to Question # 27**
- a. If Yes, How Do You Define Success? (350-500 character text box)
- 25) Method for Measuring Success (350 character text box)
- 26) Success Rates (in percentages starting from the most recent past calendar or fiscal year):
(system needs to have capability to date stamp applications as they come in for this question)
- 1 Year Ago____ 2 Years Ago____ 3 Years Ago____ 4 Years Ago____ 5 Years Ago____
- 27) Does the Program Track or Measure Recidivism? Yes / No (radio box) **If No, Go to Question # 29**
- a. If Yes, How Do You Define Recidivism (350 character text box)
- 28) Recidivism Rates (in percentages starting from the most recent past calendar or fiscal year)
- 1 Year Ago____ 2 Years Ago____ 3 Years Ago____ 4 Years Ago____ 5 Years Ago____
- 29) Is Any Post Program Tracking Conducted? Yes / No (radio box)
- a. If Yes, Method of Tracking (300 character text box)

30) Has the Program Been Evaluated? Yes / No (radio box) **If No, Go to Question # 31**

- a. If Yes, Was an Internal or External Evaluation Completed? Yes / No (radio box)
- b. If Yes, Who Was the Evaluating Entity? (50 character txt box)
- c. If Yes, Can You Provide the Results of Any Evaluations/Assessments Completed within the Past 5 Years? (text box with browsing function that allows the user to upload program assessment documentations?)
- d. Are Client Satisfaction Surveys Completed? Yes / No (radio box)

31) What Methods of Payment Are Accepted? Check all that apply: (check box)

- Client Pay/ Client Pay Full/Sliding Fee/Medicaid/Medicare/Insurance/Grant Funded/ Agency's General Relief Fund/Other_____

32) What is the Amount of Funding Received by the Agency? (box that allows up to 9 digit whole numbers to be entered)

33) What is the Program's Funding Source(s)? Check all that apply (check box)

- State Funding
- Federal Funding
- Private Contributions
- Foundation Funding
- Other

Appendix C

Initial Review of Information

- If possible, the system will assign submissions directly to the agency based upon what program types are designated to that agency. In this case, the SME will have 15 business days from assignment to complete a review of the application and return it to the Office of Offender Reentry (DRC) staff having oversight over the Reentry Program Repository. Or, DRC staff having oversight will assign submissions to the SME if the system does not have the capability to do so. Same turn-around timeframes apply. Multiple SMEs from each of the various agencies should be selected to ensure fairness in the reviews and to prevent one person from becoming overwhelmed.
- The workgroup proposes that a minimum of 3 reviewers be assigned from each of the various agencies to act as “subject matter experts” (SME), depending on the program type. The following is a list of program areas identified and the potential department(s) identified to conduct reviews as SMEs:

<u>Program Type</u>	DRC	DYS	ODMH	ODADAS	RSC	JFS	ODE	BD of Reagents	Veterans	Dept of Health	Dept of DD
Health & Wellness	X	X							X	X	
Substance Abuse	X	X		X					X		
Domestic Violence	X	X							X	provides funding	
Victim Awareness	X	X							X		
Mental Health	X	X	X						X		
Employment	X	X	X	X	X	X					X
Education	X	X	X				X	X	X		
Housing	X	X	X	X					X		X
Sex Offender	X	X							X		
Mentoring	X	X	X						X		
Developmental Disabilities											X
Anger Management	X	X							X		X
Family Engagement	X	X	X								X
Family Counseling		X		X					X		
Independent Living Skills	X	X	X						X		X
Parenting Skills	X	X									X
Vocational	X	X			X		X	X	X		X
Community Service	X	X							X		
Veterans Program	X					X			X		
Criminal Thinking	X	X	X	X							X
Peers	X	X	X								
Other	X	X							X		

- As stated, the turnaround time for completion of reviews is 15 business days from the point of assignment (either from the automated system process or the DRC oversight staff). Once the application has been assigned to a SME, the program will receive notification indicating they should expect a response within 30 business days. During the SME review process, comments and corrections should be made to the application so that the information is ready to be posted once it receives final approval from DRC oversight staff. If it is acceptable and no comments or corrections are required, it will be noted as such when emailed back to DRC oversight staff.

Appendix D

Proposed information to be displayed on Reentry Program Repository Site :

Program	Program Type	EB Rating	Program Summary
Program name	Will note the primary program component and population served (i.e. substance abuse program for youthful offenders)	Will note if program is evidence based or not	Will provide a brief overview/summary of the program
Click on this link for a more detailed description of the program (Question #13) and information from questions 1-8, 14,15, 20, 23-26, and 31 will populate this area	Click on this link and information from questions #10, 11 and 12 will populate this area	Click on this link and information from questions 16 – 18, 21, 22, 30 will populate this area	Click on this link and information from questions #27-29 and 32 will populate this area